#105 October 2015

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills Party News

Next meeting November 17 — 9:30am, Manhattan City Library, have your concerns ready. The Working Group meetings at McAlister's, 5:30-10pm, Wed. evenings are come and go, at your convenience. Bring your concerns for discussion. Your concerns are important. In this publication what is in green is me, other colors are just for getting attention. Changes in font are for letting readers know it is a change of subject or person speaking. I would like to include your opinion/concerns. With the help of the LORD we will prevail!! Let us PRAY!

How the GOP Pretends Not to Authorize Obama's Agenda By ANDREW C. McCarthy - 9/8/15 11AM

In my weekend column I offered a concrete plan to undermine President Obama's atrocious Iran deal. It is an easy one, because all that the Republican-controlled Congress has to do, if it really wants to derail this thing, is follow the law that they wrote and Obama signed, the Corker law — the Iran Nuclear Agreement Review Act of 2015, sometimes also known as "Corker-Cardin," after Senate sponsors Bob Corker (R., Tenn.) and Ben Cardin (D., Md.).

Sadly, in another iteration of the anger that is the wind beneath Donald Trump's wings, many readers insist that GOP leadership has no intention to block Obama on Iran. If that is so, it is passing strange. The national-security threat here is grave. Plus, how much credibility can Republicans have (maybe I should just end the sentence there) in complaining about Obama's disregard of federal law if they won't even follow the law they themselves enacted just four months ago?

In my column I demonstrate that Obama has failed to comply with the crystal-clear conditions spelled out in the Corker law. This is indisputable. "Side deals" that the statute explicitly requires to be disclosed to Congress — involving, for example, IAEA inspection terms and Iran's prior nuclear work — have been withheld. Moreover, not addressed in my column is yet another alarming side deal Obama has refused to disclose: one that shows the president is deceiving the public when he preposterously claims that sanctions will "snap back" if Iran is caught cheating. (I'll have more to say about this in another post. For now, see this important Real Clear World report, particularly the subsection "Fallacious 'Snapback' Sanctions.")

RELATED: *Obama's Iran Deal Is Still Far From Settled.* Under the Corker statute, in order to get the benefit of the review process that enables him to "win" approval of his Iran deal with the support of only one-third (plus one) of one house of Congress, Obama had to provide the entirety of the Iran deal, including all relevant side deals between any parties, by July 19. He has failed to do this. Thus, Congress must not go forward with the review, because (a) that is what the law says, (b) forcing full disclosure is the solemn political commitment Republicans made to voters in justifying the wayward Corker review process, and (c) if they go through with the review process, they will be deemed to have forgiven Obama's default.

In this connection, it is necessary to address what has brought us to this perilous point: the GOP scheme I call "Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight." It is a form of political gamesmanship that, as we are seeing, has dire legal and national-security consequences.

RELATED: Some 'Victory' — Two-Thirds of the Senate and the Public Oppose Obama's Iran Deal. "Surrender... Then Play-Fight" is Republican leadership's shameful approach to "governing." The quotes around "governing" are intentional. After voters, having trusted the GOP's 2014 campaign promises to block Obama's agenda, gave Republicans control of both houses of Congress, Senate majority leader Mitch McConnell (R., Ky.) notoriously said that the party's primary objective was to show the public that it could "govern." As I countered at the time, this was gibberish. Governing is principally an executive exercise. Presidents govern, while legislators prescribe. Prescribing law and monitoring the administration's execution of it are crucial functions, but they are not governing, because lawmakers are powerless to carry out policy.

Worse, the "show we can govern" tripe is just a rationalization for capitulating to Obama. GOP leaders said they must prove they can overcome legislative gridlock and (all together now) "get things done." Perforce, the way a legislature "gets things done" is by helping the president do the things he wants to do.

Since the president is currently Obama, the people who elected Republicans obviously wanted them to stop things from getting done. The resulting rage of its increasingly estranged base puts the GOP in a quandary: Republicans must avoid being seen as supporting the things they are getting done — i.e., the Obama agenda. So some sleight-of-hand is in order, some schemes to grease the wheels for Obama while posing as staunch Obama opponents.

Among the most pernicious is "Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight."

It is a legislative template for obscuring the GOP's enabling of Obama, a ruse designed to make it appear that the president is getting his way with only minority support (i.e., his hardcore Democratic supporters), while Republicans stridently condemn what they have actually voted to allow. Obama is delighted to play along, because he gets what he wants.

RELATED: Congress Should Torpedo Legislation to Approve ObamaNuke Deal Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight is what

Republican leadership choreographed in the Corker legislation. *As I've pointed out before*, they did not make up the Corker scheme on the fly. Senators Corker and Cardin used the template designed by Senator McConnell, his Democratic counterpart Harry Reid (D., Nev.), and House speaker John Boehner (R., Ohio) to increase the debt ceiling.

Here is how the Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight razzmatazz works — and follow closely or you'll lose track of where Republicans are hiding the ball.

Step one: Obama wants to do something bad. The Republicans decide to let him do it, while appearing to oppose it. Why? Maybe because they secretly agree that it should be done but know it will infuriate their base (think: raising the debt ceiling). Maybe because, although Republicans know it is bad, they are less concerned about the danger to the country than about the media-Left wrath that will rain down on them if they block Obama. Making a calculation rooted in politics rather than statesmanship, they conclude: It's better to let the bad thing happen than be blamed for "gridlock," "partisanship," etc.; plus, if they can pull off the "enable Obama while ostensibly opposing Obama" trick, their empty rhetorical opposition will poll better than taking real steps to stop the president (think: Iran deal).

Step two: The legislative template — Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight — is deployed. Republicans engineer the enactment of an authorizing statute that fully permits the bad thing Obama wants to do, but it attaches a "process" that has two conditions: 1) Obama must take certain measures to formally propose the bad thing (even though the bad thing has already been conditionally authorized); and 2) congressional Republicans must be given an opportunity to disapprove" "of the bad thing they have already approved in the authorizing statute. As GOP leadership well knows, this opportunity to disapprove is sheer theater: Obama will veto the "disapproval" and needs only one-third-plus-one support in just one chamber (i.e., 34 senators or 146 House members) to prevent an override.

Note how truly cynical this is. The ball Republicans are hiding is *the original authorizing statute*, which makes everything else happen. Nonetheless, it is orchestrated so that a) Obama must make the proposal and then b) Republicans get to vote against it *not once but twice* (first on the "resolution of disapproval," then in the inevitably unsuccessful veto-override vote). By then, after a months-long drumbeat of Republican anti-Obama diatribes and futile disapproval votes, leadership figures you've long forgotten that Republicans have already approved the bad thing against which they are inveighing and voting.

It is critical to sort out the law (the authorizing statute) from the theatrics (the disapproval process). If you miss this point, you will miss why it is crucial that Republicans, if they really want to derail Obama's Iran deal, *must not go through with the farcical process of voting on a "resolution of disapproval."*

Obama can be undermined only if he fails to comply with the conditions and Republicans call him on it.

The condition that really matters in the authorizing statute is not the disapproval process; it is the measures Obama is required to take in formally proposing the bad thing and triggering the farcical disapproval process. As long as the president takes those measures — i.e., as long as he complies with the conditions laid out in the authorizing statute — he is guaranteed victory because the disapproval process is rigged in his favor. *But he must comply with the conditions*. The condition in the Iran deal was that he was required to provide the entire agreement to Congress by July 19. Obama can be undermined only if he fails to comply with the conditions *and Republicans call him on it.*

The debt ceiling is the concrete example of Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight in action. When they are in campaign mode, today's Republicans rail against-out of-control deficit spending; but when in office, they are incorrigible offenders. While there is never enough spending as far as Democrats are concerned, deficit spending is a big political problem for Republicans because their base opposes it and the Constitution makes Congress, not the president, accountable for it. Republicans have been in control of at least one congressional chamber since 2011, and Obama's unprecedentedly astronomical deficit spending could not be carried out without their approval.

RELATED: The Iran Deal and Obama's Fatally Misguided View of the World To keep the gravy train rolling while pretending to oppose it, Senator McConnell and Speaker John Boehner designed Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight. With the cooperation of the White House and Democrats, they orchestrated a law that authorized a massive, multi-trillion-dollar increase in the debt ceiling, subject to a process consisting of two conditions: 1) Obama had to take steps to propose the increase incrementally; and 2) Republicans had to be permitted to register their opposition — to what they'd already authorized — by passing a "resolution of disapproval." In enacting the authorizing statute, Republicans of course knew that the eventual resolution of disapproval would be meaningless because Obama would veto it and was assured of the one-third-plus-one support of Democrats needed to sustain the veto. But they calculated that the process would make it appear that Obama alone was pushing for more spending: Republicans could rail against Obama's recklessness and then cast two show votes against it. GET FREE EXCLUSIVE NR CONTENT Understand: The debt ceiling was increased by the authorizing statute, not by the process prescribed by the authorizing statute. The authorizing statute was the governing law; the "disapproval" process was just theater — as long as Obama complied with the required steps (in this instance, proposing incremental increases). Republicans have reprised Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight for Obama's Iran deal. Just as GOP leaders intend, our attention is now riveted to the Corker review process, in which Congress is scheduled to debate and vote on the Iran deal in the coming days. But the Corker law is not just a process for registering disapproval (rigged to guarantee that Obama wins); it is an authorizing statute. Specifically, when

enacted in May, the Corker law authorized the lifting of the statutory anti-nuke sanctions against Iran, subject to two conditions: 1) Obama had to provide the entire deal to Congress within five days after it was reached (i.e., by July 19), and 2) Congress had to be given an opportunity to register its disapproval of what it had already authorized. Here's the salient point: As a matter of law, the anti-nuke sanctions will be deemed repealed by the Corker law if Obama is deemed to have complied with his obligation to provide the full deal to Congress. The disapproval process is just for show. With Republicans unable to muster the votes needed to override Obama's veto (as they well knew they would be), the disapproval process has no legal possibility of stopping the lifting of sanctions. Asserting Obama's failure to disclose the full scope of the deal is the only chance Republicans have to preserve the statutory anti-nuke sanctions. But alas, we cannot say that this theatrical disapproval-vote exercise would be as harmless as it would be pointless. As I explained in the weekend column, if Republicans go forward with the Corker review process, this would very likely be legally construed as forgiving Obama's failure to disclose the entire Iran deal. That is, Obama would be deemed to be in compliance with the authorizing statute's conditions, even though he has not complied. Therefore, the anti-nuke sanctions against Iran would be lifted — but lifted by the Corker authorizing statute as enacted back in May; the upcoming show vote to feign disapproval of what Congress has already conditionally approved has nothing to do with it. Again, asserting Obama's failure to disclose the full scope of the deal is the only chance Republicans have to preserve the statutory antinuke sanctions. Otherwise, they will be deemed repealed by the Corker law. "But wait," some critics counter, "Obama has refused to treat his Iran deal as a formal treaty; so doesn't that mean it is just a non-binding executive agreement and the next president can just renounce all its terms?" This is an understandable error. As I've emphasized many times, the Corker law reverses the Constitution's treaty clause, which would have required Obama to convince 67 senators to support his agreement. So critics are right to say that the Corker law does not legally bind our nation to much of Obama's Iran deal. To that extent, it is just an executive agreement that can be renounced by the next president. MORE IRAN NUCLEAR NEGOTIATIONS ON IRAN: DON'T TRUST, NEVER TRUST, AND VERIFY MITCH MCCONNELL SHOULD FOLLOW HOUSE'S LEAD ON OBAMANUKE DEAL DEMOCRATS CASH GOP'S CHECK, ENDING IRAN SANCTIONS Unfortunately, that cannot be said for all of the Iran deal. The anti-nuke sanctions are statutory. They can therefore be repealed by a statute, such as the Corker law. While a statute can be repealed by a treaty, it is not necessary to have a treaty to repeal a statute. It is irrelevant, as far as the statutory anti-nuke sanctions are concerned, that the Corker law does not satisfy the Constitution's treaty clause. Consequently, Republicans must abandon the Corker disapproval process and pass a resolution explaining that Obama has failed to comply with the condition that would trigger that rigged process — the duty to provide the entire Iran deal to Congress by July 19. If Republicans fail to do this, they may get their high-profile opportunity to express futile political opposition to Obama's appeasement of Iran. But they will very likely have forfeited their only legally meaningful way to preserve the anti-nuke sanctions Obama is desperate to repeal. Surrender . . . Then Play-Fight is political gamesmanship. But this is not a game. This is a call for statesmanship to preserve legal restrictions that impede terror-promoting enemies of the United States from acquiring weapons of mass destruction. — Andrew C. McCarthy is a policy fellow at the National Review Institute. His latest book is Faithless Execution: Building the Political Case for Obama's Impeachment. Did you like this? Read more at: http://www.nationalreview.com/article/423679/corker-cardin-congress-obama-iran-nuclear-deal

As a child I enjoyed playing "Let's Pretend" – hopefully I have out grown the game. I pray Congressional members have too.

September 18, 2015

Dear Friend,

This week I made a splash in the liberal media and leftist blogs by asking how many Syrian refugees coming to the United States may actually be radicalized ISIS sympathizers intent on destroying America. <u>Much ink was spilled by newspaper editors and Obama-loving-bloggers questioning me for actually raising concerns about our safety here at home</u>.

We are all horrified by the photos of young children who have been killed in this bloody civil war - or the many other raging throughout the globe. And with the anniversary of September 11th just a few days past, we were reminded of the death and destruction from just a few radical Islamo-terrorists within our borders.

But you know, it is my job to defend America first - not last. And it is not only right, but it is responsible to pose tough questions...before Obama imports 10,000 refugees from an ISIS war zone.

It is of particular concern to ask these questions of a President who <u>refuses</u> to enforce U.S. laws he does not like, who proposed <u>unprecedented amnesty</u> for all illegal immigrants, and who <u>put U.S. interests aside</u>, most recently, in his negotiations with Iran.

For years, those who dare to worship Christ rather than Allah have been persecuted and killed across the Middle East, and President Obama has done nothing to stop it. Four Americans, including one Christian Pastor, currently sit imprisoned in Iran. Yet our President would not even ensure their freedom in exchange for putting Iran on a path to develop a nuclear weapon and giving this massive-state-terrorism-sponsor \$150 billion!

When it comes to actions of this Administration, it is a matter of trust that President Obama simply has not earned.

So as long as radical Muslim regimes chant "death to America" while our President gives away the store to them, I will not apologize to a newspaper editor who does not like my tough questions.

Yours in Liberty,

Congressman Tim Huelskamp

P.S. Look around - who in Washington is even asking these questions?

P.S.S. If you agree that we need someone in Congress who is not afraid to take on Obama and defend America first, I need your support today. Please click HERE to make a generous contribution to my campaign right now.

If you would like to mail a check, please make payable and mail to:

Kansans for Huelskamp

PO Box 410

Fowler, KS 67844

Rep. Huelskamp always needs our help – verbally & financially, PLEASE, use every opportunity to help him to do so. He has worked hard to be the Conservative Representative we so badly need. It is HUGE that we **KNOW** we can DEPEND on Congressman Huelskamp; as you know there are NOT many we CAN DEPEND ON. Because of his doing what we need when we need it and sticking to it **we must support him in every way!!!** Checks to the above address will work!!! THANKS!!!

This says it all......Thank God that the Confederate Flag is finally being removed from the South Carolina capitol. It's comforting to know that racism will finally be ended by pulling down this flag, and blacks will now be free to live the American dream; free to keep their families together, free to value education, free to support, care for and teach their own children, free to stop murdering each other, free to graduate from high school, free to get married before having babies, free to stop crime in their neighborhoods, free to get and keep a job, free to obey laws, free to value honesty, free to quit a life of crime, free to pay taxes, free to become ambitious, free to pull up their pants, free to think beyond Saturday night, free to get off the welfare dole, free to assimilate into society, free to stop being the most racist segment of society, and free to become responsible law abiding citizens. We will all rest easier knowing that those problems have ceased to exist. Isn't it wonderful --- all the things they accomplished by taking down the Confederate Flag. Let's celebrate!! WAIT!!! - We'll celebrate when all these things are actually accomplished. Racism will no longer be a problem in the USA when the Government ceases to encourage it by Rewarding people for NOT trying to take care of themselves, and unnecessarily supports them. I am NOT pointing at black people – I am pointing at **anyone** who holds out their hand & expects to be supported when they could be supporting themselves. There are those who try, try, & continue trying to take care of themselves – they deserve help – help finding a job, housing, food, clothing. Then there are those who manage to have unneeded items, drugs, fancy clothes, expensive cars & ect. & still want Government monies. They should NOT be on Government support. Let's help those actually needing help. That is the way in the USA – help everyone to help themselves & to be independent. Government dependence should not be permanent – for anyone. Well, maybe, sick or disabled.

With Dr. Wolfs' permission I am reprinting his remarks from FACEBOOK

FACEBOOK Comments

by Dr. Milton Wolf

Yesterday Pat Roberts voted to fund Planned Parenthood.

Since his re-election, Roberts has voted to fund Obama's amnesty and now to fund Planned Parenthood even though he campaigned against both. And now Jerry Moran is playing the same political game.

Politicians lie to voters and are bad at their jobs.

Pat Roberts and Jerry Moran always find just enough votes to pass the Washington agenda — amnesty, Planned Parenthood, tax hikes, deficit spending, debt hikes, etc. — even as they each get a hall pass and talk tough during their elections.

Make no mistake, Pat Roberts just sold out the pro-life movement and has betrayed the Republicans who

supported him. And while Jerry Moran is suddenly showing an election-year conversion to fool voters just like Pat did in 2014, don't forget that Kansans For Life says Jerry Moran "stood with Planned Parenthood" and "has a very disturbing record."

The Washington agenda keeps marching on precisely because Washington politicians like Pat Roberts and Jerry Moran know how to fool voters and advance it.

What stands between America and a return to greatness are career politicians who say one thing and do another.

The FHTP as a whole and many of us individually supported Dr. Milton Wolf as completely as we could when he ran against Pat Roberts for Senator. We consider him a friend as well as a person we would be happy to have represent us in Washington DC if and when he is ready.

More from Dr. Wolf on Facebook!! (10-2-15)

Hate to say I told you so but...Pat Roberts spent a year lying to you about who he is & now Jerry Moran is doing the same.

Temporary election-year conversions are nothing more than lies to voters to get re-elected. It's time we replace every Washington politician who's lying to you. We didn't know they were "Liars". Now that they have revealed themselves we will make every effort to correct our mistake – How do they live with themselves? Next election we'll know better — We DO NOT NEED LIARS IN OFFICE!!

HOW SENATE GOP LEADERSHIP IS SILENCING AMERICANS' VOICES WITH PROCEDURAL TRICKS THE DAILY SIGNAL Genevieve Wood / @genevievewood / 9/30/15

As you may have heard, bad things are happening on Capitol Hill.

Here's the really bad news: it's even worse than you probably think.

In addition to GOP leadership caving on issue after issue, they are also stripping other members of Congress, and therefore the American people, of their ability and rights to have their voices heard.

My colleague Rob Bluey wrote <u>here</u> about how GOP Senate leadership blocked Sen. Ted Cruz, R-Texas, from offering amendments this week to defund Planned Parenthood and stop the Iran nuclear deal. But this goes deeper than just a cheap shot against Cruz.

To understand what's really going on, I spoke with Rachel Bovard, a veteran of the House and Senate, and now The Heritage Foundation's director of policy promotion.

As you'll learn from our Q&A, the season of trick or treat is alive and well in Washington.

Q: Exactly what happened with the Cruz amendments?

A: The Senate vote on Monday was a cloture vote to proceed to a "clean" continuing resolution—meaning it included taxpayer funds for Planned Parenthood. It passed 77-19. In the process of filing the bill, Sen. Mitch McConnell "filled the tree," meaning he himself inserted various amendments to then block out all other senators from offering their own amendments.

By the way, this was the same tactic used habitually by his Democrat predecessor, Harry Reid, to stifle debate and block senators from offering amendments.

After the cloture motion passed, Cruz sought to use the tools of the Senate to remove the amendment tree to offer his own amendment. He was then denied a sufficient second, and thus denied an opportunity to offer his amendment. Sen. Mike Lee, R-Utah, was the only one who rose in support. Where were our KS Senators Pat Roberts & Jerry Moran? Where is the Conservative/Republican support they promised when we elected them? This is "par for the course" for them.

Q: OK, but what about those who say one senator, such as Cruz, shouldn't be allowed to hold up the business of the Senate?

A: To understand the significance of what happened, you need to know a few rules about the Senate.

First, the Senate is unique in that each senator has equal power. Unlike the House, which operates on seniority, each senator has equal authority under the rules of the Senate to offer bills and amendments for votes on the floor once **they are recognized by the chair.** It is a sacred right of all senators, unique to the institution.

Second, a sufficient second is required for a member to offer a bill or amendment up for a vote. The most important thing to note here is that, out of respect for each member's institutional rights, sufficient seconds are nearly always given as a matter of custom, regardless of whether individual senators agree. For example, consider that Cruz and Lee gave Sen. Mark Kirk, R-Ill., a sufficient second on his amendment to reauthorize the Export-Import bank, an amendment both of them oppose.

It's simply what's done out of senatorial courtesy and respect for the chamber.

Q: What does a "sufficient second" mean, and why couldn't Cruz get one?

A: Technically, a sufficient second is one-fifth of the quorum, or 20 senators, if all members are present. The Senate generally operates in a "continuous quorum." That is, for the purposes of doing business, they pretend that there is always a

quorum present. That's why members can go to the floor to conduct business, sometimes without any other members present. The Senate generally operates in a way where it is assumed that a quorum is present and members can have their sufficient second.

But in this case, McConnell decided that the way the Senate has historically operated no longer applies. As he did with Cruz this week, and as he did when Cruz and Lee tried to secure votes to repeal Obamacare and to defund Planned Parenthood on a transportation funding bill in July, he orchestrated other members not raising their hands and offering a second, and then required the chair to enforce the regular order (which, historically, is not enforced for this purpose).

So when Cruz wanted to offer his amendment, suddenly he had to have one-fifth of the members physically present and consenting to give him a sufficient second. Basically, McConnell is applying one set of rules to everyone else, and one set to Cruz and Lee, and in a completely arbitrary way in that he doesn't tell anyone he's suddenly going to enforce these rules.

Q: Clearly this was not helpful to getting an amendment introduced to defund Planned Parenthood, but you believe this has a much longer-term and poisonous effect on the Senate. How so?

A: I would classify it as an extraordinary centralization of power by the Senate majority leader, and an extremely heavy-handed and unprecedented move.

Again, unique to the Senate is the idea that each senator has the right to call up their bills and amendments to be deliberated in full on the floor. That is an institutional right of all senators, and a principle on which deliberation in the Senate has operated for hundreds of years.

To suddenly decree yourself the arbiter of what "deserves" a vote and what does not overturns years of precedent and sets a dangerous new standard for both the Senate and representative democracy.

Now one man, the majority leader, gets to decide what issue is worthy enough to get a vote, and who is worthy enough to offer it. Not only is McConnell diluting the historical right of each senator (regardless of party), but he is also silencing the people that those senators represent. For the Senate to do it's job – MCCONNELL MUST GO!!!

James Woods: OBAMA, MEDIA IGNORE CHRISTIAN HATE CRIME!! Sat.10/3/2015/9:03AM NEWSMAX: Independent American on Tuesday, Oct. 6, 2015

Actor James Woods is blasting the Obama administration for ignoring what he describes as the plight of Christian hate crime in the wake of the murders at Umpqua Community College in Oregon.

Chris Harper Mercer, 26, opened fire on the campus on Thursday, killing nine people and wounding seven. Mercer reportedly singled out Christians for death by asking the religion of potential victims before firing on them. Mercer was then shot and killed by law enforcement.

Tweeting on Friday, Woods said it was clear that the victims were killed because they were Christian but that President Obama and the media were ignoring that. CNS News first reported on Woods tweets.

"NY Times writes a front page story about a hate massacre targeting Christians without using the word 'Christians,'" Woods tweeted, linking to story in the New York Times. Then: "If it is determined that the Oregon shooter targeted Christians specifically, that's the last we will hear of it on MSM [mainstream media]."

Woods then pointed out that President Obama's potential reaction had much to be desired: "Now that it is recognized that the terrible Oregon tragedy was an assault on Christians, will the President still sing Amazing Grace there?" Finally Woods tweeted a picture of the president with the comment: "Hate crime massacre of Christians finally silences Obama. #LiberalLaryngitis." 12:25pm – 2 Oct, 2015

The bravest person in America? The 2nd to admit to being a Christian AFTER the UCC shooter murdered the 1st!! --- Armardo Hall

My God, what extraordinary people these young Christians were. The courage of their conviction is unimaginable.

7:56 PM - 2 Oct 2015

I can't help but wonder what MY personal response would be under those circumstances. I've always considered myself a Christian, have a Christian Church membership, go to Church, support the Church, pray several times a day, have one-sided conversations with GOD. I've never been a brave person ----.

The following is from the CONSERVATIVE TRIBUNE, In defense of Western Civilization:

Within hours of the tragic shooting at Umpqua Community College in Roseburg, Oregon, President Barack Obama took to the airwaves to make a massive push of his <u>gun control agenda</u> — a typical response from anti-gun liberals who blame inanimate objects for vicious crimes.

Obama also mentioned the possibility of visiting the small town in the wake of the shooting, but David Jaques, the

publisher of the Roseburg Beacon newspaper, had a bit of advice for Obama: stay out of our town.

"There's rumors that President Obama might be coming ... how do you feel about that?" Breitbart's Lee Stranahan asked Jaques in an exclusive interview.

"I think that's very inappropriate and I think it's disrespectful to the families," Jaques replied. "The fact is that the president has no connection with this community, he has no connection with any of the families."

Jaques emphasized that in his opinion, Obama <u>politicized the tragedy</u> for his own gain, which has become a common occurrence with this president.

He also told Breitbart that he was confident that officials of Douglas County would also not welcome Obama to town based on the same thoughts.

According to Jaques, a presidential visit at this time would be nothing more than "a campaign stop for agenda to take away American citizen's right to own firearms."

Douglas County, according to Jaques, is a <u>Second Amendment</u> stronghold. Douglas County Sheriff John Hanlin informed the Obama administration after the Sandy Hook school shooting that he wouldn't enforce any unconstitutional or extra-constitutional laws or executive orders that would support its anti-gun agenda.

It's crystal clear that the residents of Roseburg and Douglas County aren't going to play <u>Obama's political games</u> in the wake of such a terrible tragedy — and for that, we salute them.

Oregon Gun-Store Granny Has 'Special' Name For Obama... And BRUTAL Words About Gun Control

In <u>Roseburg</u>, <u>Oregon</u>, site of last week's tragic mass shooting at Umpqua Community College, there is an 86-year-old grandmother who owns and operates a gun store.

Carolyn Kellim runs KC's Exchange out of a room in her own home, and always keeps her Ruger LCR .22 Magnum revolver close at hand, just in case.

Kellim said that she was sick and tired of the efforts by "executive anus" President Barack Obama to enact <u>stricter</u> <u>gun control laws</u>, which she believed were the last thing our nation needs right now.

"I think that's the worst thing in the world they could do. They've got so many laws now that they are not even looking at, and more constraints on guns is not the answer," she stated.

Kellim, who thinks Obama has "strange ideas" when it comes to gun control, doubts that he has ever even fired a gun, much less carried one, although there was the photo-op a couple of years ago featuring Obama using an over-and-under shotgun at a skeet and trap range.

"I'm not sure he's ever shot one ... but he seems to think that they're bad all the way through. They're not," she declared.

Kellim donates all of the profits she receives from selling firearms and ammunition to a homeless charity specializing in children and families.

Asked why she does such a thing with her profits, Kellim replied that showing kindness to the less fortunate is "so important in today's world. We have to take care of people that have had a bad luck stroke."

She said, "The thing that we need to do ... we need to love people a heck of a lot more and make sure that all of our people in this community are accepted and that they have friends and that they have somebody maybe to just sit down and talk to."

If only more people in America felt the same way as Carolyn Kellim, we wouldn't have the problems we have with crime and gun violence, and there would be no need for pandering politicians to talk about stricter gun control. H/T Breitbart

More from Breitbart on DRUDGE:

OBAMA NOT WELCOME IN ROSEBURG, SAYS LOCAL NEWSPAPER PUBLISHER

David Jaques, the publisher of the conservative newspaper the *Roseburg Beacon*, says he believes that President Obama would not be welcome to the town after making remarks politicizing the shooting that left nine dead and nine injured at Umpqua Community College on October 1. Jaques told Breitbart News that he believes officials of Douglas County would also not welcome the President using the tragedy to score political points for a gun control agenda.

Any visit by President would be "a campaign stop for agenda to take away American citizen's right to own firearms" said Jaques in an exclusive interview.

Jaques said the region is proud of Sheriff John Hanlin, who he said "put the administration on notice that if you pass any laws, edicts or executive actions that are either unconstitutional or extra-constitutional, they will not be enforced in Douglas County." Hanlin has been savaged by the liberal national press after his letter to Vice President Joe Biden opposing gun control came to light.

CONSERVATIVE TRIBUNE -- Oregon Victim's Brother Announces Shocking Thing He'll Say to Obama's Face During Visit

If President Barack Obama thought he was going to adopt the town of Roseburg, Oregon, as poster children for his fight against Americans' constitutional rights, he probably should have consulted the citizens beforehand.

As it is, the people of the small Oregon town have begun to send a message to the president that, instead of him using them to send a message, they want to send *him* a message.

In an interview with CNN, Jessy Atkinson, the brother of one of the shooting victims, said that he had a message for the president when he visits the place where the Umpqua Community College shooting occurred.

"I would tell him to look where the problem really lies and quit running the agenda — quit running the gun agenda," Atkinson said. "It's not the problem. It's mental health in America. It's obvious. All of us talk about it. I don't know why we are hiding from it."

Atkinson is the brother of Cheyenne Fitzgerald, a student who was shot in the back by Roseburg gunman Chris Harper-Mercer. Fitzgerald is in the hospital, but is expected to survive.

First I want to say that I agree 100% with the citizens of Roseburg and highly respect them when they tell the President of the United States that they do NOT welcome him in their town. How dare he take advantage of his position as President and assume he can take advantage of the citizens of Roseburg when they are the most weakened. This is the usual procedure for this disrespectful man – he expects to be treated with respect and "special" because he is President and because he is "black". He has NO RESPECT for anyone, but expects everyone to treat him special. I had a disagreement with a very dear friend because I was showing disrespect to Mr. Obama. I explained that I feel a person must earn respect. She felt I should show him respect just because he is the President. We began to understand each other when I told her I DO respect the office, but NOT this man who holds the office at this time. She did understand that. In my opinion any and all individuals who want to destroy the USA and take advantage of the citizens abiding by the law and the Constitution are as low as a person can get and should be sent to a country where their type of Government exists. Let them live the way they want to, but don't allow them to destroy our Great USA.

While doing the research on this shooting and gun control I learned a fact surprising to me. In one of the articles it stated that Oregon is a very Conservative State — Except for the Williamette Valley, just South of Portland, which is a heavily populated area!!! I have relatives in Oregon that are very Liberal, Oregon has Liberal Congressional members, so I thought all of Oregon was Liberal — Thankfully, I was wrong!!! Give you one guess where my relatives live!! It is a beautiful area. I love my Liberal family, we even discuss politics sometimes.

Thankfully, I have Conservative family in Oregon too. Praise the LORD for family!!!

From: Dr. Milton Wolf on Facebook 10/8/15

The most important matter before the United States Senate today is who should lead it. Only 22 percent of Republican voters want Mitch McConnell to stay. The cowardice being demonstrated by Senators Jerry Moran and Pat Roberts ducking the critical matter of who should lead the Senate is an insult to the voters who put them there. It's simple: Do Jerry Moran and Pat Roberts stand with Republican voters or with Washington? Why won't they say?

Milton sends us to:

Rasmussenreports.com

Only 22% of GOP Voters Want Mitch McConnell to Stay

In Politics Thursday, October 1, 2015

It looks like Republican voters are ready to clean house when it comes to the party's top two congressional leaders.

Following John Boehner's sudden decision to step down as speaker of the House of Representatives, 46% of Likely Republican Voters think Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell also should resign. A new Rasmussen Reports national telephone survey finds that just 22% of GOP voters don't think the longtime Kentucky senator should step down as majority leader, but a sizable 32% are undecided. (To see survey question wording, click here.)

As with Boehner, Democrats are more opposed to McConnell's resignation than voters in his own party are. Only 14% of Republicans think Boehner's resignation is bad for the congressional GOP.

Many of those in Congress who have been critical of Boehner also don't feel McConnell has been aggressive enough in his dealings with President Obama and Democrats in the Senate. <u>The vice</u>

chairman of the Republican National Committee has called on McConnell to resign from his post.

Just 40% of Republican voters have a favorable opinion of McConnell who has served in the U.S. Senate for 30 years but has been majority leader only since January. That includes just eight percent (8%) who view him Very Favorably.

Among all Likely Voters, 42% say McConnell should resign as majority leader, while 25% disagree. Thirty-three percent (33%) are undecided.

(Want a <u>free daily e-mail update</u>? If it's in the news, it's in our polls). Rasmussen Reports updates are also available on <u>Twitter</u> or <u>Facebook</u>.

The survey of 1,000 Likely Voters was conducted on September 28-29, 2015 by Rasmussen Reports. The margin of sampling error is +/- 3.0 percentage points with a 95% level of confidence. Field work for all Rasmussen Reports surveys is conducted by <u>Pulse Opinion Research</u>, <u>LLC</u>. See <u>methodology</u>.

<u>The key question for House Republicans now</u> is whether they want a speaker who will fight more or one who like Boehner hopes periodic strategic wins will put the party in a better place come the next election.

Men are slightly more likely than women to think McConnell should resign, but then women are much more undecided on the question.

Over half (52%) of self-described conservative voters think McConnell should quit as majority leader, a view shared by just 32% of moderates and 38% of liberal voters.

Interestingly, the highest levels of support for McConnell's resignation are among those who Strongly Approve of Obama's job performance and those who Strongly Disapprove of it.

In late July, Senator Ted Cruz voiced the unhappiness of many Republican conservatives when he took to the floor of the Senate and in a rare intraparty broadside accused McConnell of lying. Veteran Republican senators quickly rallied to McConnell's defense. Rasmussen Reports suggested at the time that it might have been the shot fired at Fort Sumter that signaled the real start of a GOP civil war.

No one likes the job Congress is doing, but Republicans are more critical than Democrats and unaffiliateds even though the GOP has been in control of both the House and Senate since January.

Most Republican voters have long felt that their congressional representatives are out of touch with the party's base. Only 24% of Likely GOP Voters now believe Republicans in Congress have done a good job representing their party's values. Democrats, by contrast, are much happier with their representation in Washington, D.C.

<u>Additional information</u> from this survey and a <u>full demographic breakdown</u> are available to <u>Platinum Members</u> only.

It is a good thought that IF we replaced both Boehner and McConnell our "hardworking, honest, sincere" Congress members would/could then keep their campaign promises to you and me – their constituents, the Kansas citizens – and move our Country forward. All of the Conservative promises they made while campaigning they could keep. This is all true PROVIDING the new Leaders are Conservative. Remember the "if the Republicans control Senate/Congress we can start bringing our Country back to what it once was"—campaign promises? Not even Obama could stop them – Yes, there is the "Presidential Veto; however there is also the Veto Override." My opinion – IF McConnell stays in they will continue NOT PASSING needed Legislation so they can continue having an excuse for NOT representing us as they promised. McConnell is/has been doing the same as Reed did. If we get TRUE, HONEST Conservatives in both leadership positions will we be OK or will they just find another excuse to screw their Constituents? Let us see what happens in the next year. In the meantime, we MUST elect the strongest local Conservatives possible!!! WOW!!! This was wasted time – now Boehner is NOT leaving because his replacement just withdrew. MAN, they will do most anything to continue taking our Country DOWN. We must figure out the WAY to restore our Great America. PRAY, I honestly, believe that is all we have left – maybe it is all we ever had. We do have the LORD – PRAY!!!

Someone said I should give my thoughts on a few subjects, so here goes: Common Sense

If the Liberals are so crazy about global warming -- Why are they so set on bringing in more and more illegals? Seems the more humans that cross our

borders the more they use up our resourses - water, gas ect. ect. Send them home and we would meet what standards they wish to set on the environment. Just a thought - people, when you ask a liberal this question - don't be surprised - if you are yelled at or called a "racist." I prefer "confused conservative environmentist."

Donald Trump - The fact is those of us who have been yelling at the top of our voice has found a Candidate that is saying what we want said. --- No question -- well a Conservative - probably not and never will be - Would he make a good president - that is what the future will tell - He is a successful business man, he will be a million times better than what is in the White House now and I really, really can't wait for his new government reality show - "Your fired" will be available!!

Hillary Clinton doesn't lie - she just 'botox's the truth!"

But, of course, as Hillary said, 'What does it matter now?" - Larry

Tawney

October 9, 2015

Dear Friend,

This week John Boehner's hand-picked successor Kevin McCarthy dropped out of the election to become the next Speaker of the House. He said it was because he was unwilling to meet the demands of conservatives.

What are the so-called 'demands' of conservatives? That Republican leaders work with conservatives instead of attacking us. That Republican leaders put new rules in place to open up the legislative process to all Members of Congress rather than cutting backroom deals with Nancy Pelosi and Harry Reid. And, that any proposed commitments from the next Speaker to conservatives be in writing, so we can hold them accountable for the promises they make.

If Kevin McCarthy cannot sit down and find a way to work with conservatives in this reasonable way, then he was right to step aside.

This is a historic time in our nation's history. Since 2010, Republican candidates have run on conservative principles. And Republican leaders promised over and over and over again to fight President Obama's destructive policies and to stop his executive overreach.

And what did we get in exchange for huge Republican majorities? John Boehner and Mitch McConnell caved on the debt limit. They caved on funding executive amnesty. They caved on ObamaCare. They caved on stopping the Iran deal. They caved on defunding Planned Parenthood. They caved on stopping economy-crushing regulations from the EPA.

Even worse, Boehner and his allies turn around and attack conservatives to try and blame us for their failures. After running ads against me earlier this year, Boehner's Super PAC friends in Washington are threatening to spend \$100 million in primaries to try and defeat conservatives like me.

Hardworking Americans, grassroots conservatives like you know better. You know it has been one broken campaign promise after another from the current GOP insiders. *That is why the American people are so fed up with Washington*.

The next Speaker must rebuild trust - trust with the American people to keep their promises and trust with House conservatives so we can find a way to move forward and work together. And, I am committed to working with my colleagues until we find the right person for the job.

Yours in Liberty,

Congressman Tim Huelskamp

P.S. Speaker Boehner's allies are threatening to spend millions to take me out. Your generous ongoing financial support of my campaign will help me prepare to defend our conservative principles against this unprecedented attack. Please make a contribution of \$25, \$50, \$100 or \$250 right now to stand up to Washington.

I understand your disgust at what is happening in DC. Stay after your Representatives to help vote in a Speaker that will support Rep. Huelskamp and those working with him. I will be emailing each of the other Representatives requesting their cooperation – will YOU help me support Rep. Tim Huelskamp? Or will you just sit back, twiddle your thumbs and complain? You have NO Right to complain if you are not making an

effort to solve the problem – because YOU ARE part of the problem if you are NOT making an effort to help solve it. You don't have their emails or address? Let me help you!!

Rep. Tim Huelskamp
Rep. Lynn Jenkins
Rep. Kevin Yoder
Rep. Mike Pompeo
100 Military Ave.
120 N. 6th St.
7325 W. 79th St.
7701 E. Kellogg
Indopendence KS 67201
Overland Park 66204
Suite 510

Suite 205 Independence, KS 67301 Overland Park 66204 Suite 510

Dodge City, KS 67801 Wichita, KS 67207 620-225-0297 (620) 231-5966 (913) 621-1533 (316) 262-8992

Please, help your Representatives to understand what YOU want THEM to do – CALL or WRITE!!

Help the Representatives WE elected to understand what WE expect of Them!!!

MADISON PROJECT

Conservative. Firepower. Fellow

Conservative,

During the 2014 election cycle, a candidate called conservatives "profoundly stupid" and "traitors." He said we should be "punched in the nose" and promised to "crush" us "everywhere.

If you had one guess, who do you think used such harsh language toward conservatives?

Harry Reid? Hillary Clinton? Nancy Pelosi? Barack Obama? A leader at Planned Parenthood? A liberal host on MSNBC?

No. You may be surprised to learn it was then Senate Republican Leader Mitch McConnell.

Why would a Republican leader say such things against the most loyal voters in his own party?

The answer is simple: Mitch McConnell did not like being held accountable by conservative groups including Madison Project.

We're the nation's premier PAC dedicated to electing next generation of true conservative leadership. Our efforts made us no friends in the Washington political establishment who wanted to keep their "politics as usual" friend safely entrenched in DC.

Outside of DC, we know that Mitch McConnell is no friend to conservatives. In fact, Roger Villere, Louisiana Republican Party Chairman, has called for McConnell's resignation. Even Rand Paul, the junior Senator from McConnell's home state of Kentucky, refuses to say whether or not he supports McConnell.

Do you agree with the Chairman Villere and Rand Paul? <u>I URGE you to immediately donate \$10 and join us in our Citizen's Censure of Mitch McConnell.</u>

For years, establishment Republicans in Washington have gotten away with giving lip service to our principles while supporting policies that betrayed those same principles. NEVER AGAIN! Since the Republicans took the majority in the Senate, Mitch McConnell has cut deal after deal with the Democrats. His record of betraying conservatives before the election has only increased after the election.

Consider that, in this year alone, he:

- Forcefully defeated the valiant efforts of Senators Mike Lee and Ted Cruz to defund Obamacare.
- Failed to support Senator Mike Lee's effort to defund Planned Parenthood in the wake of their body parts trafficking scandal.
- Helped Harry Reid block a vote on an amendment offered by Ted Cruz that would have prevented lifting sanctions on Iran unless and until Iran recognizes Israel's right to exist as a Jewish state and unless and until Iran releases American hostages.
- Allowed Senator Harry Reid and the Democrats to pass an amendment that reauthorized the Export-Import Bank (Ex-Im) as a reward the lobbyists on K Street and undeniable corporate welfare. This action was so egregious that Ted Cruz boldly took to the Senate floor and called out Senator McConnell for lying.
- Was referred to by George Stephanopoulos as President Obama's "point man" in the Senate; and
- Received a hand-written note of thanks from Barack Obama for his role in the confirmation of Attorney General Loretta Lynch the number one cheerleader for unbridled Presidential power.

<u>Donating \$10 and joining our CITIZEN'S CENSURE OF MITCH MCCONNELL</u> will prove that the grassroots are more engaged and better informed, and we WILL NOT SIT SILENT while Republican-In-Name-Only (RINO) leaders help the Democrats enact their liberal agenda.

We MUST let Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell know that his scheming and backhanded tactics will NOT go unchecked.

Our goal isn't just to elect Members to Congress. It is to elect conservative rock stars who will shake up the status quo in Washington, DC and turn this country around.

From identifying and recruiting great candidates, to making the maximum contributions allowed by law to their

campaigns, to bundling money for them from our members. . .the Madison Project's mission is to create a machine around which the conservative movement can elect great Members to Congress, hold them accountable and elect more conservatives the next cycle.

In other words, we DO NOT support politicians like Mitch McConnell simply because they have an "R" next to their name.

Your donation will put him and the rest of the Washington establishment on notice that we are not fooled and we are not deceived by these duplicitous actions.

For America,

Jim Ryun

Chairman, Madison Project

P.S. Mitch McConnell is no friend to conservatives. After promising to push the conservative agenda in the 2014 election, Mitch McConnell has helped Democrats at every opportunity.

There are so many things that I find on internet that are of interest to Me, but I have NO idea if they of interest to YOU. I do NOT know this unless you contact me. What subjects interest YOU the MOST?

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.

If you would like to send comments to the editor – be my guest.

If you have an editorial to submit – be my guest.

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: www.flinthillsteaparty.com; email: fhtp@flinthillsteaparty.com or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chuck Henderson, 785-236-1286; Sylda Nichols, editor, email: sylda@gemsandwood.com. Sylda sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1228 Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502-2840. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to "Educational Fund")_will also be accepted at this address and is tax deducible.

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part requires permission given by the persons listed above.